

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/815,441	<b>Applicant(s)</b> EATOUGH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Dipakkumar Gandhi	<b>Art Unit</b> 2138	

**All Participants:**

(1) Dipakkumar Gandhi.

(2) Wesley Austin.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2006

**Time:** 10:30 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*1-25*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner mentioned that amended claims 10-12, 14-15, 19-25 describe different invention as compared to claims 1, 16-18. The applicant's representative Wesley Austin agreed with the examiner and cancelled claims 10-12, 14-15, 19-25. The claims 2-9 and 13 were already cancelled in the amendment filed on 6/19/2006.